### Gravish · lab



#### Introduction

Many microorganisms are capable of synchronizing their body or appendage motion for locomotion or for driving fluid flows [1-2].



Recent studies have determined that intermittent mechanical contact among organisms is responsible for synchronization in larger organisms [3-4].



We present a neuromechanical hypothesis for emergent synchronization through contact and demonstrate the robot-robot interaction by means of limit cycle in a simplified system as below. Further, the control law is multi-link robot executed on system for experimental purpose.



Collision position

Contact condition

 $x < -\frac{\delta}{2}$ 

 $r\cos(\pi - \phi_2^-) - r\cos(\phi_1^- - \pi) = \delta$ 

 $\Leftrightarrow r\cos\phi_1^- - r\cos\phi_2^- = \delta$ 

Joint oscillations are controlled by a phase oscillator or limit cycle, when joints collide their position (x-axis) is equal and they will be in contact until the oscillator phases reach the separation condition.

[1] Jens Elgeti and Gerhard Gompper. Emergence of metachronalwaves in cilia arrays. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 110(12):4470–4475, March 2013. [2] Gwynn J Elfring and Eric Lauga. Hydrodynamic phase locking of swimming microorganisms. Phys. Rev. Lett., 103(8):088101, August 2009. Time (s) [3] Jinzhou Yuan, David M Raizen, and Haim H Bau. Gait synchronization in caenorhabditis elegans. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 111(19):6865–6870, May 2014. [4] Raghunath Chelakkot, Michael F Hagan, and Arvind Gopinath. Synchronized oscillations, traveling waves, and jammed clusters inducedby steric interactions in active filament arrays. Soft Matter, December 2020.

## Synchronized swimming: adaptive gait synchronization through mechanical interactions instead of communicaton

### Zhuonan Hao, Wei Zhou, Nick Gravish

Separation condition

(a)  $\phi_2^+ = 2\pi - \phi_2^-$ 

(b)  $\sin \phi_1^+ < \sin \phi_2^+$ 

## Body oscillation control Each robot has two joints which have angles $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$ . The generation of body oscillations are controlled through $\widehat{\mathrm{pg}} 2\pi$ 9 Joint angle (rad) $\pi/2$ $\theta_i = r_i \cos(\phi_i)$ $(\delta_j) + \gamma g(\phi_i, \tilde{\phi}_i))$

a local phase oscillator:

Proprioceptive phase feedback  $\tilde{\phi}_i = F(\theta_i, \dot{\theta}_i)$ 

$$\dot{\phi}_i = \omega \pm \lambda f(\phi_i, \phi_i)$$
  
 $\dot{r}_i = r_i(\mu - r_i^2)$ 

#### Inter-joint regulation

 $f(\phi_i, \phi_j) = \sin(\phi_j - \phi_i - \Delta\phi)$ 

Proprioceptive feedback

$$g(\phi_i, \tilde{\phi}_i) = \sin(\phi_i - \phi_i)$$

Measured phase

$$\tilde{\phi}_i = \arctan\left(-\frac{\dot{\theta}_i/\omega}{\theta_i}\right)$$

The difference between measured phase and internal phase is used to sense contact interactions among robots. Critically, in the regulation equations there is no robot-robot communication and the feedback only takes into account the phases of the individual robot kinematics.

 $\pi$ 

()

 $\overset{\circ}{\underbrace{}}_{}^{}\pi/2$ 

 $-\phi_i$ 

# UC San Diego

**JACOBS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING** Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

#### Synchronization is controlled by proprioceptive gain



#### Synchronization enhances channel traversal

#### Channel traversal





Two robots challenged to move through a narrow channel. Relative phase change between the two robots showing:

- in-phase sync at  $0 < \gamma < \omega$
- anti-phase sync at  $\gamma < 0$ • non-feedback at  $\gamma = 0$